## **Old Business**

- x Dr. Lanning reviewethe proposed changes of the Bylaws presented in chart form line-by-line. He edits were partially consider per notes provided by the committee members in the Novembeth neeting and her individual meeting with Provost Andersorlf aline was added, removed, changed significantly (more than mechanical edits) e line was explained by Dr. Lanning and discussed by members of the committee.
- x The ultimate goal (for future consideration) may be to create a version in which the Bylaws and Rules of Process areastriened.
- x One proposal asked to be considered was that the Senate as well as Academic Affairs & Institutional Review Board had a chair elect selected the first year as a novice, then more to second year in preparation to take over for third year. This would be a continuous cycle
  - Year 1: Chair Elect 1 (for year 3)
  - o Year 2: Chair Elect 2
  - Year 3: Chair
- x Consideration should also be made to the communication loop between the Senate and President. As of now, that is not outlined in the bylaws.
- x Define Proxy so thatveryone is on the same page as to the meaning as well as the duties and responsibilities.
- x Dr. Rigole asked if QEP should be placed in Student Faculty Enhancement Committee

## **New Business**

- x Nursing Department isoncerned with the Wednesday start date duentumber of hours required in the classroom and field. They request we change back to the Monday start date. The committee recommended that this would be a good discussion for the Dean's meeting, with questions going to Senate if needed.
- x January 1<sup>th</sup> meeting now changed to January 18, 2019.
- x The committee was charged to review the document-linyeline before our January meeting and submit suggested editsncerns and/or possible changes no later than January 17, 2019. The goal is to submitted for oduct to the Senate for reviewin February.